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| --- |
| **Notes of Meeting**  **Date & Time: 25 June 2025 at 11.00 am**  **Venue:** Microsoft Teams |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Name** | | **Agency** | **Attended** | | **Deputy Present** | **No Deputy** |
| Adrian Green (AG) | | Independent Chair | X | |  |  |
| Ian Scott (IS) | | North Yorkshire Police |  | | X |  |  |
| Graeme Wright (GW) | | North Yorkshire Police | X | |  |  |  |
| Carol Kirk (CK) | | North Yorkshire Police/Chair of Audit Assurance Subgroup | X | |  |  |  |
| Emma Dixon (ED) | | NYCC Legal Services | X | |  |  |
| Julie Wilburn (JW) | | NHS Humber and North Yorkshire Integrated Care Board (ICB) | X | |  |  |
| Emma Stevens (ES) | | NHS Humber and North Yorkshire Integrated Care Board (ICB) | X | |  |  |
| Alison Smith (AS) | | Harrogate District NHSFT |  | |  | X |
| Amanda Robinson (AR) | | Bradford District Care Trust | X | |  |  |
| Kim Robertshaw (KR) | | Housing Services Manager, NYC | X | |  |  |
| Carol Roberts (CR) | | Community First Yorkshire | X | |  |  |
| Hannah Ellingworth (HE) | | NY Safeguarding Children’s Partnership | X | |  |  |
| Christine Pearson (CP) | | NHS Humber and North Yorkshire Integrated Care Board (ICB) |  | | X |  |
| Saiki Said | | NHS West Yorkshire Integrated Care Board (ICB) | X | |  |  |
| Katrina Uttley (KU) | | NHS West Yorkshire Integrated Care Board (ICB) |  | | X |  |
| Nicki Smith (NS) | | TEWV | X | |  |  |
| Lindsey Britton-Robertson (LB-R) | | STHFT |  | | X |  |
| Helen Williams (HW) | | STHFT | X | |  |  |
| John Pattinson (JP) | | Independent Care Group |  | |  | X |
| Louise Wallace (LW) | | NYC Health and Adult Services – Chair of SAR Subgroup | X | |  |  |
| Richard Webb (RW) | | NYC Health and Adult Services | X | |  |  |
| Gavin Swankie (GS) | | NYC Health and Adult Services – Chair of Confidence Practice & Learning Subgroup | X | |  |  |
| Jo Boutflower (JB) | | Trading Standards, NYC |  | |  | X |
| Thomas Hirst | | North Yorkshire Fire and Rescue Service |  | | X |  |
| Toni Tranter | | North Yorkshire Fire and Rescue Service | X | |  |  |
| Joseph Howard (JH) | | National Probation Service | X | |  |  |
| Ashley Green (AGr) | | North Yorkshire Healthwatch/Chair of Connection & Involvement Subgroup | X | |  |  |
| Phil Hubbard (PH) | | Safeguarding Exec Lead, BDCFT |  | | X |  |
| Sally Lichfield | | Head of Engagement & Governance, NYSAB | X | |  |  |
| **Also in Attendance:** | |  |  | |  | |
|  | |  |  | |  | |
| **Name**  Janice Foxton (JF)  Al Wescott  Sally Roger | | **Agency**  NYSAB (Minutes)  NYC  NYC | |  |  | |
|  | |  |  | |  | |
| **ITEM NO.** | **SUBJECT AND DISCUSSION** | | | | | |
| **Item 1** | **Welcome / Introductions / Apologies for Absence** | | | | | |
|  | Chair welcomed members of the Safeguarding Adults Board to the meeting.  Apologies for absence: Ian Scott, Lindsay Britton-Robertson, Thomas Hirst, Katrina Uttley  It was noted that there was a deputy present for most members of SAB that could not attend the meeting. The meeting was quorate.  No declarations of interest  Chair noted that papers would be taken as read.  It was noted that Kim Robertshaw and Ashley Green would leave the meeting early and the items on which they would report would be addressed following the action log. | | | | | |
| **Item 2** | **Minutes of the meeting held on 19 March 2025** | | | | | |
|  | Minutes were noted and approved by the Board. | | | | | |
| **Item 3** | **Action Log** | | | | | |
|  | The action log was noted.  Chair noted that:   * 2023/09 about Delivery Plan. Chair asked that this item be moved to completed actions as it was now a regular item on the SAB agenda. * 2023/10 about Multi-Agency Safeguarding Hub. GW updated that he would write to Chair of NYSAB and York Safeguarding Adults Board to report on the initiative. It appeared that the group had reached in impasse as TEWV had not yet committed resource but were exploring this further. A narrative had been submitted to North Yorkshire Police (NYP) by the ICB about the impact of the changes on safeguarding and their ability to progress this further. However, there was now probation representation on both local authority adult screenings. In view of issues relating to representation from TEWV and ICB, GW was unable to progress this further at present. * 2024/11 about Rough Sleeping directive. AG noted that as this was now included in NYSAB priorities/delivery plan it could be moved from the action log.   AG thanked GW for the update and noted that he would speak with the Chair of York SAB following receipt of the letter.  AG reported that Lyndsay Britton-Robertson (STHFT) had agreed to chair the Prevention sub-group. First meeting of this group would be held on Wednesday 30 July 2025. | | | | | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Item 4** | **Domestic Abuse (DA) Strategy Update** |
|  | Chair welcomed Sally Roger (SR) to the meeting to report on Domestic Abuse Strategy Update. SR had prepared a presentation that had been circulated prior to the meeting (attached in the column to the left).  SR noted:   * The DA Strategy had launched in April 2024. * Delivery Plan created to support the vision, priorities and commitments. Each separate DALPB is responsible for monitoring activities and capturing evidence of progress towards meeting the strategic priorities and impact made. Plan was updated quarterly with a report produced for each priority to capture the work undertaken on each. * Four priorities within the strategy, each of which was split into several commitments. * Focus for priorities was prevention, increasing understanding, raising awareness, early identification and the promotion of specialist services to ultimately eradicate abuse. * Evidence by partners for example, by undertaking training to increase awareness, use of social media, posters, craft sessions and drop-in sessions to name a few and involved GP surgeries, businesses, healthcare professionals and neighbourhood policing teams. Awareness raising undertaken amongst gypsy/Roma communities, workforces in partner organisations, education settings and domestic abuse refuges. * In addition to these examples IDAS works closely with military in Catterick Garrison and has a presence on site weekly. Funding had been awarded to recruit two specialist military DA practitioners with a view to extending this service to barracks in York and training school in Harrogate. * Work was underway to find an appropriate means to capture “survivor voice” as these had proved a challenge to date. * Improvements had been made to referral pathways, including training on policies and procedures. * Desire to maintain excellent engagement with partners, ensure momentum maintained and work to identify any gaps where evidence may be missing. * Increased focus on what outcomes have been achieved and would include evidencing the ‘Survivor Voice’ to shape response to domestic abuse across North Yorkshire. * Continue to inform the strategy around inspections, reviews and audits (JTAI) to ensure it remains current and relevant to any changes that consider best practice. * Ensure that North Yorkshire Safeguarding Adults Board and the North Yorkshire Safeguarding Children Partnership are equitably informed and updated of our progress in relation to the key strategic priorities of our multi-agency strategy.   Chair thanked SR for the informative presentation and invited questions/comments:   * RW suggested that it may be worth considering including this in future meetings/away days/workshops. * LW noted that it was an extremely powerful presentation and wondered how we could strategically join with policy drivers and maximise all the evidence/resources to address concerns of men, women, girls and boys that may be experiencing domestic violence. SR reported that the team do work closely with Violence Against Women and Girls (VAWG) and SR attended their meetings but would welcome introduction/links to other organisations in this field. * AGr thanked SR for the presentation and wondered if there was a number to attribute to the number of residents of North Yorkshire affected by domestic violence. SR explained that we did not have numbers within the delivery plan, but numbers were presented at quarterly commissioning meetings where service providers gave updates/reports. * Al Wescott (AW) noted that there were circa 8,000 domestic abuse crimes per year in North Yorkshire. However, there were more victims evident through commissioned services than those that resulted in a domestic abuse related crime. AW reported that cross-mapping had been undertaken in relation to VAWG and the delivery plan (ref LW comment above) and there may be further potential but important to note that partners were fatigued with number of updates requested around strategies. SR had done an excellent job in obtaining 20+ updates per quarter from agencies. * GW agreed with AW about domestic abuse crimes at c. 8,000 and noted that this figure was coming down. GW suggested that NYSAB concentrate on the areas where NYC and NYP intersect as we all have a duty to support victims and reduce the impact of domestic abuse. Important to consider areas that overlap and how we can truly work in partnership. * AG wondered about assurance in relation to identification of victims and perpetrators of domestic abuse with care and support needs and referrals from domestic abuse/care and support areas, e.g., sharing referrals and understanding data, ensuring this is robust and complementing one another. Consider whether work was required in this area. * HE noted that SL and Odette Robson regularly meet to explore cross over between children’s. community safety and adults about domestic abuse. Following the JTAI inspection an action plan had been compiled and one action was to strengthen cross working, between children’s safeguarding, community safety and adult safeguarding.   Chair noted that NYSAB takes domestic abuse seriously and responsibility regarding those with care and support needs. |
| **Item 5** | **DHR/MARAC/MATAC Update** |
|  | Chair welcomed AW to the meeting. Members of NYSAB had read the report (attached in column to the left).  AW updated:   * Multi Agency Risk Assessment Conferences (MARAC) – is a national framework to allow agencies to meet and share information, solve problems, risk safety planning for the highest risk cases of domestic abuse. However, this is not a statutory process. * North Yorkshire had experienced a high volume of cases during and since Covid, although this now appeared to have peaked and there were now just under 2,000 cases each year. * Meetings held virtually and attendance by partners is a challenge/due to increasing demands across several areas. * Strategic workshop held in June 2024, face to face in Northallerton with circa 50 attendees. Recognition more needed to be done to drive performance, 13-point action plan now in place, increased active attendance, energy and engagement in problem solving. Pledge to deliver multi-agency training – High Risk indicators - 4 x multi agency in person sessions being delivered based around MARAC. Developed “Harmful Practice Process” for those cases involving HBV/Cultural Harm. Positive recognition of effectiveness of MARAC by inspectors during recent JTAI. * New statutory process for Domestic Homicide Reviews (DHR) to be introduced which will affect how we manage authors, chairs, how we commission, scoping, chronologies and notifications. Team is involved in workshops with a view of implementation of the process. * Terminology will change to Domestic Abuse Related Death Reviews (DARDR). * There has been a continued increase in DHR in North Yorkshire over the past 5 years and this trend is reflected nationally. This is due to increased awareness within agencies, and the fact that domestic homicide numbers include suicides. Tragically, the number of suicides where domestic abuse is a factor is increasing. * Cost of undertaking DHR’s and cost of authors and chairs has increased. * There are delays in conducting DHR’s and once a review has been sent to the Home Office Panel, it may be one year before it is heard resulting in a delay in acting upon recommendations (some of which may be urgent) or the recommendations may not be as the Home Office Panel would like and require changes. * Experiencing fatigue in partnerships when processing/reviewing cases. Some DHR’s are being staggered over c. three years due to volume in process. * Issues around information sharing with partners. This was more prevalent in cases of suicide. * There was now an Information Sharing Agreement (ISA) in place for North Yorkshire partners reference DHR’s, but it had been difficult to implement. * 1 active DHR ongoing now – Malton, 1 Active out of area, 1 case (Ellie) is with the Home Office awaiting HO review, 4 existing reviews remain ongoing 39 recommendations, Multiple themes – training/policy change/curiosity, etc., key agencies to provide updates against recommendations in line with board meetings. * NYC, City of York and Mayors Office fund safe accommodation units in the region and at present there are 32 refuge units in York, Harrogate and Northallerton. There are 11 dispersed units across the county which offer refuge to male, transgender victims, and victims with mobility requirements. Victims from York and North Yorkshire can access safe accommodation in other areas in the country and likewise victims from elsewhere can access safe accommodation in York and North Yorkshire. There is insufficient safe housing nationally and the majority of residents in York and North Yorkshire are from other regions. * 138 adults and 94 children have been accommodated in safe accommodation in 2024/25. * Majority of referrals came from domestic abuse services (other authorities) and housing departments. * Caseloads and referrals remain high in Community Based Adult Services – team working to capacity to deal with the complex needs of victims. * Inspire North Foundation are commissioned by NYC to provide a “Perpetrator Behaviour Change” programme. All services were recommissioned in April 2024. * High Harm Referrals and Engagement service in place. * Reviewing the Needs Assessment for 2025/26 in line with Domestic Abuse Act. Aware that more units are required, and work would be undertaken to understand how this could be achieved. * Commissioned Children’s Therapeutic Service, work with Horton Housing manage gypsy/Roma sites in the county and have created a position for a Domestic Abuse Safety Officer to work in this area. * Hope to embed four IDAS Domestic Abuse Practitioners within our housing team in order that victims that contact housing receive immediate support from a DS practitioner.   Chair thanked AW for the comprehensive and informative presentation and invited questions/comments:   * JW thanked AW for the update and noted the excellent work that was underway. About the MARAC overview and strategic workshop, JW reported that every area that they cover, as an ICB, were struggling to meet the demands of MARAC and gathers that this is an issue nationally. JW suggested that some of the outcomes/information from the workshop be shared with other areas. JW asked whether we were seeing a shift in the effectiveness of the meetings, .e.g., how they are managed, volume and so on. AW said that there had been engagement from day one, offering ideas and opinions but it was important to ensure that it was kept fresh. However, it could be sometime before the information could be shared with agencies, but this was on the action plan. * GW noted that with Domestic Homicide Reviews (DHR) there was a gap in the management of actions/recommendations, and he had placed this with NYP Domestic Abuse Tactical Delivery Group, and these would be tracked/managed and updates given. GW asked whether there was the same level of assurance across other agencies. AW explained that this was in the early stages as there was no central scrutiny by the CSP at the outset, but work had been undertaken on holding the actions record/collating information on the DHR’s. GW suggested that it was important that organisations were able to record/evidence work on DHR’s as inspectorates/review organisations could scrutinise work undertaken. Chair reiterated that the CSP own DHR’s as NYSAB owned SARs. |
| **Item 6** | **GPS Tracker Project (North Yorkshire Police) – Outline proposal for use of GPS trackers for people with dementia at risk of going missing** |
|  | Members of NYSAB had noted the contents of the paper and attachments from Graeme Wright (GW). Attached in column to the left.  GW reported:   * South Yorkshire Police had introduced tracking devices to support those families in which someone had dementia/Alzheimer’s. * The scheme which was piloted with 50 devices had proved successful and the number of devices increased substantially. * This increased the safety of those living with those conditions and eliminated the need for “missing person” reports to the police. * North Yorkshire Police would like to work with partners to introduce a scheme for North Yorkshire using trackers. * Finer detail was contained in the documents circulated. * York had offered to part fund a scheme and it was hoped that NYC/partners might be able to match that funding. * Partners would also support the scheme with case identification/evaluation and a task and finish group should funding be available for the scheme.   Chair thanked GW for outlining the proposed scheme and invited questions/comments:   * AG enquired whether £30 for the sim was per annum or month. GW explained that this was per annum. This would be the cost to the family for the service. * AG asked what the trackers looked like and how could the family be assured that this would still be attached to the individual if they arose in the middle of the night and walked outside. GW explained that the device was not dissimilar to “Apple Airtags”. The way in which the tag is “attached” would be tailored to the requirements of the user. * RW suggested that GW contact Mike Rudd and Rebecca Dukes from the Supported Housing Team, Health and Adult Services to discuss this further. HAS had £2m technology enabled care provision that the team were going to re-design/re-procure and we have GPS trackers within this and it would be worth a conversation to explore synergies. SL/JF would pass their contact details to GW. * Toni Tranter (TT) had just joined North Yorkshire Fire and Rescue but in her previous role in South Yorkshire had worked with South Yorkshire Police on several initiatives, including tags and wristbands that could be scanned to offer information on the person wearing them (e.g. from Herbert Protocol). TT asked if the technology was used in North Yorkshire. GW said that this was not used at present but it sounded and interesting opportunity and could be incorporated in the same discussion.   Chair thanked members of NYSAB for the discussion and noted that the Board agreed in principle to the idea and looked forward to hearing more following GW discussion with Mike Rudd and Rebecca Dukes.  **Action:**   * **SL/JF to pass details for contacts regarding tracker devices to GW.** |
| **Item 7** | **NYSAB Delivery Plan** |
|  | SL updated:   * Revised Delivery Plan and Board structure launched in April and much work had been undertaken to set up meetings and update information on the website. * Terms of reference and work plans for sub-groups had been reviewed and revised. * Discussion had as to how sub-groups would work together and commission work across the groups. * A regular meeting of Chairs of sub-groups would be convened in due course to facilitate cross group working. * First meeting of the Connection and Involvement sub-group was held in June. * Prevention sub-group had not yet met. Chair had just been identified, Lindsay Britton-Robertson (LB-R) from STHFT had kindly agreed to assume this role. JF would liaise with LB-R to diarise meetings. There were still some gaps in membership for this group. Representation from Adult Social Care would be welcomed that could cover transitions. * Members had been identified for the Rough Sleeping Task and Finish Group. * In view of the transition around sub-groups updates to the Delivery Plan had been limited in Q1 but this would gain momentum once the remaining groups were in place. * The paper circulated for this meeting was a “high level” summary of the Delivery Plan. An additional section had been added to show “status” of items which would help the Board keep track of progress. * Excellent progress had been made already on priority 1, as mapping is underway on different areas of activity that we can tap into that is pre-existing and who are groups that are perhaps seldom heard or under-represented via the Connection and Involvement sub-group (CIG). * First NYSAB newsletter had been drafted, would be circulated to members of CIG for review/comment and it was hoped that this would be circulated to members of groups/partners in July. * Social media activity had been revitalised. * Discussions underway about Workforce Safeguarding Champions. Draft role description would be presented to next CIG for consideration. * Launched the Self-neglect Practice Guidance and there had been a session held on this subject during Safeguarding Week.   SL asked members of NYSAB that had any updates to the plan to email them to [nysab@northyorks.gov.uk](mailto:nysab@northyorks.gov.uk);  Chair thanked SL for the update.  **Action/s:**   * **SL/JF to diarise (quarterly or bi-annual) meetings for Chairs of sub-groups.** * **JF liaise with LB-R to identify and diarise meeting dates for Prevention sub-group.** |
| **Item 8** | **Risk Register** |
|  | Members of NYSAB had noted the Risk Register.  SL reported:   * Two updates had been received from HDFT and Community First Yorkshire for their input. * Item 3 – risk posed by backlogs. This risk was added in 2024 and expanded further to include DoLs backlogs and “waiting” in general. We would welcome a specific update from Adult Social Care about this, particularly around mitigations. * New risk added around the ICB restructure and cuts to funding. * Risk to highlight changes to visa requirements for overseas workers in care sector added to register.   Chair thanked SL for the update and noted that the risk regarding “waiting” was to facilitate understanding of the situation not to question performance.  **Action:**  **Obtain update from Adult Social Care on “waiting” risk and mitigations. ???? Who from Sally pls**  **JF/SL** |
| **Item 9** | **Report from Executive Group held on 27 May 2025 and verbal updates from Chairs of Sub-Groups** |
|  | Members of NSAB had noted the report.  **Safeguarding Week**   * There had been 65 events across the programme. * Thirteen of those were undertaken by NYSAB partners – 20% of events. * 354 people were booked onto those events. * Promotion undertaken via social media and emails to partners. * There were no face-to-face events, and it was hoped that we might be able to undertake some events during National Safeguarding Week. * Events had been promoted on social media and this activity had been noted in the Connection and Involvement sub-group report to Executive. * SL asked members of NYSAB to follow NYSAB on social media, X, Twitter, LinkedIn, Instagram and share with networks.   **Connection and Involvement sub-group update**  Ashley Green (AGr) reported that:   * First meeting had been productive with good representation but representatives from North Yorkshire Police, HDFT and York and Scarborough would be welcome. * ToR were considered and approved. * Considered the Delivery Plan and identified priorities for this year. * Considered the fact that National Safeguarding Week would be held in October, and it may offer an opportunity to hold an event/s to interface with public. * Considered use of “safeguarding champions” to promote awareness of safeguarding. * Hollie Townsend would undertake social media engagement.   **Audit, Assurance and Quality sub-group update**  Carol Kirk reported that:   * It had been a productive first meeting. * Considered the reforming of the Multi Agency Audit Group and Frances Aldington had volunteered to chair the group from September 2025. Agreed that this would remain a separate meeting. * Drug and alcohol related deaths were reported, and it was agreed that we would try to stream this information to focus on those that had care and support needs if possible. Craig Bosomworth would explore this further. * Clarity required for abbreviations, e.g. DARD related to drug and alcohol related deaths and domestic abuse relation deaths. Glossary/appendix to be compiled in due course. * Terms of reference discussed and agreed.   **Confident Practice and Learning sub-group update**  Sally Lichfield reported that:   * Terms of reference discussed and updated. Amends will go to next meeting for approval. * Task and finish group convened to review revised delivery plan and draft work plan. * Discussed Self-Neglect Practice Guidance and webinar for Safeguarding Week. * Approved One Minute Guide on Executive Capacity and this would be added to the NYSAB website with supporting information. * Update received on Modern Slavery and Human Trafficking Tool Kit which would be added to the NYSAB website.   **SAR sub-group update**  Louise Wallace reported that:   * SAR tracker was reviewed, and the group found this tool very helpful. * It was beneficial to have the SAR scoping panel in a separate meeting. * The group ran out of time at the first meeting following introduction of the new structure and had to reconvene to conclude business. * Two SAR reports for TH (Marie) and SO (Gemma) had been received, reviewed by key partners and the SAR sub-group and would be reviewed by RW, and then sent to authors for final changes prior to presentation to SAB for sign-off for publication. * There were similar themes to many SAR referrals, substance use, domestic violence, coercive control and mental health/suicide risk and mental capacity. These themes were seen nationally too. Professional curiosity was not always evident in the cases. Need to consider harm reduction, motivational interviewing and ensure multi-agency working * Work underway on “James” SAR post implementation review, to ensure that learning is recorded and embedded and likewise for “Elaine” SAR. * The group considered work undertaken by the Multi Agency Case Review Panel – 74 cases had been referred to the panel.   Questions/comments:   * Chair noted that he had asked the team to review the “Gillian” SAR (from another area) in relation to a SAR that had been received by NYSAB as there were many similarities in the cases. Chair explained that in line with national SAB recommendations, NYSAB would consider content of other regions’ SAR reports and employ learning/recommendations/actions if relevant to a case in North Yorkshire. This could save money as there would not be the need to undertake another SAR if recommendations were relevant. NYSAB team would develop a bespoke action plan for the SAR based on that earlier report. |
| **Item 10** | **Update following CQC inspection held on 2, 3 and 4 June 2025** | |
|  | RW thanked all those involved in the CQC inspection. Headline feedback had been received but it was impossible to predict the outcome from this.  It was noted that the draft report could be submitted to HAS anytime between August and October 2025 and would be published later it the year. | |
| **Item 11** | **Rough Sleeping Board Champion Update** | |
|  | Kim Robertshaw (KR) reported:   * Team working with Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (MHCLG) regarding a Targeted Priority Group (TPG) as we had a high number in our rough sleeper count in North Yorkshire last year. Noted that there were 29 people in the TPG. These were people “at risk” of rough sleeping. Team would consider what could be done in conjunction with partners to help those in the TPG. Of the 29 people there were 5 in Harrogate/Craven, 8 Richmond and Hambleton, 8 Scarborough, 8 Ryedale and Selby. * “No Second Night Out” offer available across North Yorkshire at present. This is a discretionary service to hopefully prevent people sleeping on the street. * Grant funding secured for Church House in Northallerton and a shower and washing machine have been installed. This offered a day centre facility to those that required a facility to keep themselves clean. * Draft Homelessness and Rough Sleeping Strategy had been compiled and was ready for comment and would be circulated to members of NYSAB. * Recruitment of a Rough Sleeper Coordinator for Hambleton and Richmond was underway. * First sleeper pod had opened in Selby. If anyone knew of any land available KR would be keen to hear about this as securing land on which to place the pods was difficult. * Mental Health Partnership Board held a session on housing that KR had attended with a view to improving relationship with TEWV and several useful contacts were obtained. * Draft Terms of Reference had been compiled for the action plan for rough sleeping. * Draft Terms of Reference had been compiled with Adult Social Care around how we would look at cases. Meeting would be held with colleagues from Adult Social Care, next Tuesday 8 July 2025 to consider the cases of homelessness and whether there is learning to be had and to consider whether any had fallen through the gaps and to understand how to work together better.   Chair thanked KR for the comprehensive update and suggested that it would be good to have a government representative from MHCLG join a NYSAB meeting. Noted that Bruno would leave shortly, and KR would find out who would replace him. Chair noted the importance of developing Performance Framework for the Target Priority Group and would speak to KR about this on a separate occasion. Chair noted the importance of assertive outreach and clear escalation process reference MDT.  **Action:**   * **Circulate draft Homeless and Rough Sleeping Strategy to members of NYSAB. KR/SL/JF.** * **Ascertain who would replace Bruno at MHCLG and let Chair know. KR.** * **KR/AG to meet to discuss developing Performance Framework for TPG.** | |
| **Item 12** | **NYSAB Development Day – Monday 6 October – What would you like the day to be/do?** | |
|  | Chair asked members of NYSAB to consider what they would like the development day to feature.  Would members like a guest speaker, a case study, time consider the role of a SAB and key issues?  Chair asked members for ideas:   * GW suggested that the guest list could go wider than actual members of NYSAB and noted that such events were beneficial. It was noted that members of all sub-groups had been invited. * CR noted that the development day had been excellent last year and beneficial. Unfortunately, she was unable to attend on 6 October but would send a deputy. * RW agreed that last year’s event with a wider group of participants added value in terms of different views/perspectives and that people could be involved in the work of the Board without attending all the meetings. * HE suggested the Board consider “shared strategic priorities” with CSP and CYSP, as that had been well received at CYSP development day. Chair felt that complementary practice at strategic board level was important. * Chair suggested that the group could consider literacy around priorities, self-neglect, and possibly mental capacity.   Chair requested that members submit any ideas for the development day to NYSAB email for consideration.  **Action:**   * **SL/JF to email members of NYSAB requesting ideas for the development day.** | |
| **Item 13** | **Any other business** | |
|  | GW reported that as part of his portfolio, he was regional lead for North Yorkshire Police regarding sex worker exploitation. A group within the police had been formed to support this work but GW would like to explore partnership working to support people that want to flee from exploitative sex work. GW would contact partners in due course, but also welcomed suggestions from partners for agencies that may benefit from or wish to become involved with this work. Graeme Wright, Detective Superintendent Safeguarding: [graeme.wright@northyorkshire.police.uk](mailto:graeme.wright@northyorkshire.police.uk);  Chair thanked members for attending. | |
| **Calendar of Meetings** | | |
|  | Wednesday 17 September 2025 at 11.00 am via Teams  Monday 15 December 2025 at 11.00 am via Teams  Wednesday 18th March 2026 at 11.00 am via Teams | |